٩
naro
Jarr
×
Butler & Marmaro
<u></u> -
}
7
<u> </u>

		JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP	
$\overline{ m JMBM}$ Jeffer Mangels Butler & Marmaro w	1		
	2	JEFFREY K. RIFFER (Bar No. 87016) (JRiffer@jmbm.com) 1900 Avenue of the Stars	rwjmbm.com)
	3	Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-4308	
	4	Telephone: 310 203-8080 Facsimile: 310 203-0567	
	5	Attorneys for Defendant JUPITERIMAGES CORP.	
	6		
	7		
	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
	11		
	12	STEVE SHAPIRO and STEVE SHAPIRO	CASE NO. 3:07-CV-5540 PJH
	13	MUSIC,	
	14	Plaintiffs,	JUPITERIMAGES CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S STANDING
	15	V.	ORDER RE REMOVED CASES
	16	JUPITERIMAGES CORPORATION,	
	17	Defendant.	
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22	Jupiterimages Corporation ("Jupiterimages") hereby responds to the four questions in	
	23	this Court's Standing Order that the removing defendant(s) should answer:	
	24	1. Whether all defendants served at the time of removal joined in the notice of	
	25	<u>removal</u> . Jupiterimages, the party that filed the Notice of Removal, is the only named defendant.	
	26	Doe defendants are disregarded as a matter of law. See 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) ("For	
	27	purposes of removal the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names shall be	
	28	disregarded."); Newcombe v. Adolf Coors Co.	, 157 F.3d 686, 690 (9th Cir. 1998) ("28 U.S.C. §
PRINTED O	N		

- 1 -

RECYCLED PAPER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1441(a) explicitly provides that the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names shall be				
disregarded for purposes of removal. As such, the district court was correct in only considering the				
domicile of the named defendants.").				
2. Whether the notice of removal was dated more than thirty (30) days after the				
first defendant was served. The Notice of Removal was filed on the 30th day after service of the				

- Complaint on Jupiterimages, the only defendant served.
- If the action has been removed on the grounds of diversity jurisdiction, 3. whether any defendant served at the time of removal is a citizen of California. No defendant is a California citizen. Jupiterimages, the only defendant, is not a citizen of California.
- 4. If the action has been removed on the grounds of diversity jurisdiction, the citizenship of all named plaintiffs and all named defendants (including the citizenship of all members or partners in any non-corporate party). Plaintiffs are California citizens. Jupiterimages, the only defendant, is a citizen of Arizona and Connecticut.

DATED: November 2, 2007 JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP JEFFREY K. RIFFER

> By: --S-- JEFFREY K. RIFFER JEFFREY K. RIFFER Attorneys for Defendant JUPITERIMAGES CORP.

28 PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER